(3)

Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED
OCT 2 3 2003
OFFICE OF THE CLERK

No. 03-302

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

BRUCE F. BOWMAN, Petitioner,	)	Supreme Court of Illinois
v.	)	No. M. R. 18666
ILLINOIS ATTORNEY REGISTRATION AND DISCIPLINARY COMMISSION, MARY ROBINSON, ADMINISTRATOR, Respondent.	)	Commission No. 01 IA 2001

PETITION REHEARING (RULE 44)
ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI
TO THE SUPREME COURT OF ILLINOIS

BRUCE F. BOWMAN, 0264881
Petitioner-Appellant
1741 West Galena Blvd.
Aurora, Illinois 60506
Telephone (630) 844-1741
Wisconsin Telephone and MCI
Voice Mail (262) 248-1539

12 pp

BRUCE F. BOWMAN

PETITION FOR REHEARING (RULE 44)

ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Supreme Court of the United States Petitioner-Appellant, BRUCE F. BOWMAN, for this Petition for Rehearing (Rule 44), On Petition for Writ of Certiorari states:

1. BRUCE F. BOWMAN filed a Petition for Writ of Certiorari in the Supreme Court of the United States that was docketed as case 03-302 on BRUCE F. BOWMAN'S 55th birthday, 10-26-2003.

2. FAILURE OF ARDC TO ALLEGE MISCONDUCT IN VIOLATION OF PUBLISHED RULE 753 (b)

Illinois Supreme Court Rules, January 2001, ARDC Rules, Gray Book, 1-28-2002 Hearing, Respondent Exhibit 5, page 16 states:

"RULE 753, Inquiry, Hearing and Review Boards...

(b) . . . The complaint shall reasonably inform the attorney of the misconduct he is alleged to have committed."

The complaint or petition filed against BRUCE F. BOWMAN fails to allege misconduct or wrong doing against BRUCE F. BOWMAN.

3. UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION, FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT, ILLINOIS RULE 758, MENTAL DISABILITY OR ADDICTION TO DRUGS OR INTOXICANTS, IS AN UNREASONABLE CLASSIFICATION CONCERNING COMPLUSION TO ABUSE, AND UNCONSTITUTIONAL, AND ALSO VOID FOR VAGUENESS.

Illinois Rule 758 contains an unreasonable classification joining mental disability and addiction to drugs or intoxicants, and ignoring compulsion to abuse. Rule 758 also fails to state a rule for violation as "thou shall not advertise", and is void for vagueness because it either forbids or requires the doing of an act in terms so vague that men of common intelligence must necessarily guess at its meaning and application.

The classification violates the equal protection clause of the Federal Constitution.

There is no reasonable basis for placing mental disability with addition to drugs or intoxicants because of compulsion to abuse. PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS v. THOMAS Mc CABE, 49 Ill 2d 338,347, 275 NE 2d 407, 412, (1971), United States Constitution, Fourteenth Amendment, also see

GENTILE v. STATE BAR OF NEVADA, 501 U.S. 1030, 111 S. Ct. 2720, 115 L.Ed. 2d 888 (1991).

I know TOM Mc CABE; different junior high, same high school, West Aurora High School, Aurora, Illinois, class of 1966. We sat next to each other Sophomore Choir, and Junior year, Concert Choir.

4. DENIAL OF DUE PROCESS, UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION, FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT, AND VIOLATION OF PUBLISHED ILLINOIS SUPREME COURT RULES INCLUDING PUBLISHED ILLINOIS ATTORNEY REGISTRATION AND DISCIPLINARY COMMISSION RULES.

United States Supreme Court Petitioner BRUCE F. BOWMAN was denied due process because of Illinois Attorney Registration and Disciplinary Commission violation of a combination of these published Illinois Supreme Court and/or Illinois Attorney Registration and Disciplinary Commission Rules:

Illinois Supreme Court Rule 368. Issuance, Stay, and Recall of Mandates from Reviewing Court.

An Illinois Supreme Court order was entered 5-22-2003, and the same day 5-22-2003 certification is not the mandate issued " . . . not earlier than 21 days after judgment . . ."

It might be easy to determine the same day 5-22-2003 is not more than 21 days later. BRUCE F. BOWMAN'S law license was unreasonably seized without a mandate in violation of United States Constitution, Fourth Amendment.

> Illinois Rule 253, " . . . a list of witnesses shall be filed within 28 days disclosing . . .any and all persons who have knowledge of the facts. . ."

BRUCE F. BOWMAN was served 5-14-2001. The ARDC Administrator MARY ROBINSON and her attorney CHRISTINE P. ANDERSON did not file their list of witnesses until 9-12-2001, and that might be an easy calculation, more than 28 days.

The Hearing Board should not have allowed the Administrator to offer the testimony of any witness, including DR. S. DALE LOOMIS.

> Illinois Rule 260 Initial Prehearing Conference
>
> "(a) . . . A prehearing conference shall be held at the offices of the Attorney Registration and Disciplinary Commission in every case filed. . .
>
> The respondant. . . shall personally attend the prehearing conference. . ."

There was telephone interrogation of BRUCE F. BOWMAN, 6-25-2001, and 7-9-2001, and 9-10-2001, and 10-17-2001. There was no initial prehearing conference at the ARDC Office with the respondent present. BRUCE F. BOWMAN'S rights were violated by the telephone interrogation.

Illinois Rule 276, "Each party must appear at hearing. . ."

ARDC Attorney CHRISTINE P. ANDERSON refused to testify at the 1-28-2002 Hearing. ARDC Administrator MARY ROBINSON and LEONARD WOJTECKI failed to appear or testify at the 1-28-2002 Hearing.

Illinois Rule 753 . . .

"b. . . . The complaint shall reasonably inform the attorney of misconduct he is alleged to have committed."

The complaint or petition filed against BRUCE F. BOWMAN fails to allege misconduct or wrongdoing against BRUCE F. BOWMAN.

The Illinois Attorney Registration and Disciplinary Commission violated a combination of published rules, Rule 368, Rule 253, Rule 260, Rule 276, Rule 753(b). and there was a denial of due process.

5. SBC AMERITECH POSSIBLY FAILED TO DISCLOSE INFORMATION

SBC Ameritech and WILLIAM J. DALEY, its President were served by mail 12-9-2002 with a subpoena duces tecum for information, including telephone calls to disconnect BRUCE F. BOWMAN'S telephone number (630) 844-1741.

After telephone calls and letters requesting response, SBC Ameritech mailed a 7-18-2003 letter that possibly fails to disclose information and states:

"...

SBC does not have the capability to determine which call into SBC's Customer Care Center pertained to your account. As a result we are unable to identify the location or telephone number of the callers from November 30, 2001, and December 3, 2001, nor should it be the length of the call. We also do not record calls from payphones.

According to SBC's records there have not been any regulatory complaints filed with the Federal Communications Commission or the Illinois Commerce Commission under your name and telephone number. In addition, SBC does not have any documentation under your name and telephone number indicating someone was unable to reach you by telephone or reached someone else. Our records do not reflect that your calls are being listened to either.

In order for SBC to further investigate into someone not being able to reach you, someone being charged to leave you a message, or the number of times someone called your telephone number, we would need the individual's name and possibly the time frame.

SBC does not record calls from payphones. Our records do not reflect that your calls are being monitored. . . ."

6. CANCER AND SATELLITES MIGHT BE FOCUSED ON AND/OR USED ON UNITED STATES CITIZENS

I noticed in the *Chicago Sun-Times*, Tuesday September 30, 2003, page 55,

"Thumbs up for a speedy recovery to *Sun-Times* movie critic Roger Ebert who will undergo surgery at Northwestern Memorial Hospital today to remove a malignant tumor on the salivary gland. After the procedure, Ebert is expected to undergo radiation therapy for several weeks.

Ebert, 61 told friends last month that he'd had the tumor " "in one form or another for 16 years" " . . .

As he did before his previous cancer surgeries on his thyroid gland in 2002 and on his salivary gland last February, Ebert taped in advance the next few weeks' edition of the syndicated movie-review show he hosts with *Sun-Times* columnist Richard Roeper."

I also noticed in the Thursday October 2, 2003 *Chicago Sun-Times*, page 57,

"*Sun-Times* movie critic Roger Ebert came through surgery Tuesday . . . the 10-hour procedure at Northwestern Memorial Hospital to remove a malignant tumor on his salivary gland. . ."

Supreme Court of the United States Petitioner, BRUCE F. BOWMAN, in the Petition for Writ of Certiorari, page 20, stated:

"The Illinois Supreme Court possibly overlooked evidence included in the 9-13-2002 APPENDIX, including. . .

3. 6-25-2000 letter concerning articles *Chicago Tribune, Chicago Sun-Times,* GENE SISKEL, TIM WEIGEL, 1-28-2002 Hearing Administrator Ex 26, A 487-489. . . ."

Chicago Tribune, 6-25-2000, Section 5, Page 2.

Chicago Tribune, 6-25-2000, Page 26A

ROGER EBERT previously did the SISKEL and EBERT weekly syndicated television film review program with now deceased as a result of cancer GENE SISKEL.

GENE SISKEL was a *Chicago Tribune* newspaper columnist, and the other half of the "SISKEL and EBERT" weekly syndicated television film review program.

GENE SISKEL now deceased also worked at WBBM CBS Television in Chicago with sportscaster TIM WEIGEL.

The 6-25-2000 letter, 1-28-2002 Hearing Administrator Ex 26, A487-489, concerns newspaper articles concerning brain cancer and brain surgery, and GENE SISKEL and TIM WEIGEL.

Both SISKEL and WEIGEL were roommates at Yale, both worked at WBBM CBS Television in Chicago, both had brain cancer, both had brain surgery, and now both SISKEL and WEIGEL are dead.

I believe, and I believe there is evidence satellites might be focused on the/or used on United States Citizens.

Satellites might have been focused on SISKEL, WEIGEL, and ROGER EBERT. Satellites focused on and/or used on United States Citizens might cause cancer.

The Illinois Attorney Registration and Disciplinary Commission refused to update discovery after the 1-28-2002 Hearing Board Hearing.

Besides believing there might be evidence satellites are focused on and or used on United States Citizens, I believe there might be evidence that telephone calls are stolen, meaning diverted to other locations, and I believe there might be evidence there are voices over the airwaves.

My beliefs should be protected by freedom of speech. United States Constitution, First Amendment, and IN RE EDNA SMITH PRIMUS. 436 U.S. 412, 98 S. Ct. 1893, 56 L. Ed 2d 471 (1978) and,

GENTILE V. STATE BAR OF NEVADA 501 U.S. 1030, 111 S. Ct. 27270, 115L. Ed. 2d 888. (1991).

Someday there might be evidence:

(1) Telephone calls are sometimes stolen,

(2) There are voices over the airwaves,

(3) Satellites are focused on and/or used on United States Citizens.

Evidence might be able to be obtained before ROGER EBERT and possible other people die. God Bless ROBERT EBERT, and God Bless this petition for Rehearing.

It is important to make all facts known that could affect the credibility of this case, and the credibility of people involved.

WHEREFORE, Supreme Court of the United States Petitioner BRUCE F. BOWMAN asks:

1. For Rehearing, on Petition for Writ of Certiorari.
2. For such other and further relief as equity may require.

Respectfully Submitted,



BRUCE F. BOWMAN, 0264881
Supreme Court of the United States Petitioner
1741 West Galena Blvd.
Aurora, Illinois 60506
Telephone (630) 844-1741
Wisconsin Telephone and MCI
Voice Mail (262) 248-1539

RULE 44 (2) CERTIFICATION
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR REHEARING

Supreme Court of the United States, Petitioner BRUCE F. BOWMAN, represents and certifies that he had read Supreme Court of the United States Rule 44, Petition for Rehearing and:

1. This Petition for Rehearing is restricted to the grounds specified in paragraph 2, Rule 44.
2. This Petition is presented in good faith, and not for delay.



BRUCE F. BOWMAN, 0264881
Supreme Court of the United States Petitioner
1741 West Galena Blvd.
Aurora, Illinois 60506
Telephone (630) 844-1741
Wisconsin Telephone and MCI
Voice Mail (262) 248-1539